

1. Overview

a. The Convergence software platform aggregates large amounts of patient data. Radiology data is displayed on a Rads Report (fig 1.) that includes a Rads Score. This document is intended to educate the reader on the derivation and proper use of the Rads Score in clinical care.

2. Definition

a. A Rads Score is a 3 digit score that numerically represents the amount and significance of data available on a Rads Report.

3. Derivation

- a. A Rads Report is designed to rapidly convey radiology information. It is specifically designed to highlight the following factors:
 - i. Radiology studies that use ionizing radiation.
 - ii. High resolution studies such as <u>MRI, CT Scan, and Fluoroscopy</u>.
 - iii. Radiology studies that have been repeated.
- b. The Rads Score numerically represents these factors through a process whereby every study on the report is assigned:
 - i. An average radiation value in Millisieverts (mSv)
 - ii. A resolution value corresponding to the level of inherent detail and complexity (e.g. MRI > Ultrasound)
 - iii. A repeat status dependent on whether or not the study has been done before.
- c. The sum of the mSv, resolution units, and the number of repeats are each converted to a scaled score between 0-99, which is derived from the percentile ranking within a large population.

Fig 1. Rads Report and Score

RADS 96	9						CONVERGE			
4352d, dfa6ee	XXX/XXX/XXXX	x					Kettering Health Ne			
969	The flash Sizes equivate to solid estimate of concludes addition exposure and Segrestific Solid areas to and you for an analysis of analistic relating delts. Note: Sizes surged from 100 MeV and Segressions equivalent exposure and solid areas and solid areas and the solid Segression for a solid areas and solid solid solid and the solid solid compared to the solid solid Compared Section Solid solid Section Section Se									
udy Timeline										
THead	1.1	11.11	1.1	11.1						
T Chest			1.11	1.1)			
T AduPel	I									
T Bpine										
I Gener	804				2		34			
wara .	-				.,.					
uc Med			1							
ain Film				_						
ardiac	1		1	1.						
				1						
trasound				-						
0er										
dy Data					Data Source(s)					
	90d	6mos	197	Total			Studies 1yr 2yr 3			
Head	1	3		11	Kettering Health Network					
Chest	2	3	6	11	Deplet Hexpillel science(н			
Abd Pel	1	- 1		11	Edwarder vollt. His op His Loud av Jackana)					
Difter	0		0	2						
Total	4	7	22	42						
870	0		0	0						
Med	2	2	3	4						
dad .		3								
0	0		0	1						
rasound		2	2	4						
har	0		0	0	10000					
TAL.			100	181	TUTAL		161			
pression and	Findings									
20014 - 15 0000	•)						_			
ANGIO CHEST PE tering Health Native	PROTOCOL 7127	5	6/27/G	M27/2014 - 15.0000 GTANGIO CHEST PE PROTOCOL 71275						
6/2014 - 2.3600			Ketter	ing Heath	NUTWORK					
HUNG VENTILATIO	DN & PERLLING V	Q 78582	Impre	ssion:	income and a second of the					
5/2014 - 0.0200			2. Mir	imal calcifi	ed aortic atheroscierotic disease	without aneurysm or diss	action.			
CHEST PORTABLE	E \$547		3.04	3. Bilateral king fibrosis with perticularly right upper lobe bronchiectasis and patchy residual bilateral lung						
Dupler Hexpitel wirstelen)			groun 4. The	groundgess appearance noted. 4. There is interval decreased size of previously reported mediastinal nodes.						
9/2214 - 5.0205 -CHEST PORTABLE tering Health Native	E BTAT DR		Electr	onically Sig	ned by: John Johnson, MD					
8/2014 - 0.0000			Ente	~						
SOUP VEIN LOWER	R DVT LT 90971		CT-AN	GIO CHES	T PE PROTOCOL 71275					
arrag means niafad			CT-14	-0469762	-					
52014 - 14.0000 ABC/PEU/IS NO CI	ONTRAST		Pulmo	mary embo sc sob. 100	eam mí Omni 350 N					
tering Health Nativo	ork		Comp	arison: 27	June 2014					
8/2014 - 0.0200			Techn	ique: Stand	lard chest CT PTE institutional pr	otocol was followed with	IV contrast. Source and reformatte			
CHEST PORTABLE tering Health Nature	L STAT		Finde	s reviewed ox						
40014 - 0.0001			Diffus	e bilateral n	nainly subpleural fibrosis is identi	fed. Right middle lobe an	d lingular atelectasis is identified.			
-CHEST PORTABLE	L STAT		Mainh	r right uppe	r lobe bronchiectasis is seen.	-				
for Houghd wheat			right o	eructures a seratrachea	no meciliatinum demonstrate rigi i node measures 14 x 13 mm as	m perstrachest and aortic compared to 18 x 15 mm	opumonary window adenopathy. previously. The aorticopulmonary			
3/2014 - 0.0010	WORE VIEWS		adenc	pathy is de	creased from previous exam					
de reill He spille is sin	salation ()		now in	neasuring 1	4 x 8 mm as compared to 24 x 1	2 mm previously.	demonstrate on similar at			
			TROPTING AND A DECIMAL OF A DEC	e pumora	ry entery anatomy is identified, Co	THE REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF TAXABLE PARTY OF TAXABLE	CONTRACTOR OF STREET,			

- d. A weighted average of the scaled values is calculated and represents the first two digits of the Rads Score.
- e. Example calculation of weighted average:

		Scaled Value	Weighting	Product
Total Radiation	232 mSv	95	7	665
Total Resolution	350 res	82	2	164
Total # of Repeats	32 rpt	64	1	64
			Sum	893
			Weighted Avg	89

- f. A third digit representing the number of studies in the last 90 days is *appended* to the weighted average to create the 3 digit score.
 - i. Example Rads Score

Weighted Average	Number of studies < 90 days	Rads Score
89	7	897

4. Notes

- a. An average radiation value is assigned to each study, based on published values, but the actual amount of radiation used in a study can only be determined by the facility that performed the study.
- b. The data on the report, which provides the foundation for the Rads Score, is provided to Convergence from third parties. The accuracy of the data on the report is dependent on the accuracy of the data provided and there is always the possibility that more data exists.





Fig 2. Rads Score

c. The Rads Score distribution is such that approximately 80% of patients have a score less than 200, 95% have a score below 500, and 99% have a score below 650.

5. Proper Use of the Rads Score

- a. The Rads Score should be used only as an <u>awareness tool</u>. It only represents the amount and significance of data available on the accompanying Rads Report.
- b. A Rads Score should not be used in isolation to determine whether or not additional imaging is appropriate or inappropriate. The clinician must apply the data on the Rads Report, while recognizing its limitations, to the current clinical situation to determine the appropriateness of additional imaging.
- c. In general, a high Rads Score is associated with *relatively* high amounts of past radiation exposure based on *historical imaging protocol assumptions*.
 Consulting with local radiation experts will help clinicians better understand the relevance of historical averages to local patient populations.
- d. The Rads Score is designed for provider use only. The concepts, limitations, and application to clinical conditions are beyond scope for most patient discussions.